Removal of Arsenic from Water Environment by Electrodialysis

*Tuan Minh PHAM, Syouhei NISHIHAMA, and Kazuharu YOSHIZUKA

Faculty of Environmental Engineering, The University of Kitakyushu, Japan

Abstract

The potential application of electrodialysis (ED) membranes in water treatment and desalination requires an improved understanding of the factor that govern the rejection of contaminants. In this study, separation of arsenic from aqueous solution by electrodialysis was investigated. The results demonstrated that the pH shows no significant effect on mass transfer coefficient (k) in the voltage range investigated. The mass transfer coefficient (k) increased by increasing voltage, and the mass transfer coefficient for As(V) is always higher than that for As(III).

Keywords: Arsenic, Electrodialysis, Mass transfer coefficient

1. Introduction

Arsenic is one of inorganic trace contaminants and is found in natural and engineered aquatic environments. The occurrence of arsenic leads an important environmental and public health concern. Arsenic is often found in surface and groundwater where it is mostly present as inorganic forms of trivalent arsenite (As(III)) or pentavalent arsenate (As(V) [1]. Electrodialysis (ED) is a membrane separation process based on the selective migration of aqueous ions through cation-exchange and anion-exchange membranes because of an electrical driving force. Ion separation is closely associated with the characteristics of the ion-exchange membrane, especially its permselectivity. ED was firstly used for the desalination of saline solution, while other applications such as the treatment of industrial effluents, have gained importance [2]. This study has investigated the influence of pH, initial concentration and electrical potential of ED for the removal of arsenic.

2. Experiments

The ED was conducted with Acilyzer AX3B (ASTOM) at 25°C. Arsenic solution was prepared to the concentration from 5 mg/L to 60 mg/L with 0.01 mol/L NaCl as a feed solution. The solution of 0.01 mol/L NaCl was prepared as a

concentrate solution. Each ED experiment was conducted for 1 h and the samples were collected each 10 min.

The mass transfer coefficient (k) will be calculated by the equation:

$$-k t = \ln ([A]_t / [A]_0)$$

Where $[A]_t$ and $[A]_0$ are the As concentration in feed solution at time *t* and initial, respectively

3. Results and Discussion

The effect of pH on the rejection of As on the mass transfer coefficient (*k*) is shown in Figure 1. The mass transfer coefficient (*k*) is increased with pH in the case of As(V), whereas it is decreased with pH in the case of As(II). The As(V) exists in several stable forms in the aqueous media, such as H₃AsO₄, H₂AsO₄, HAsO₄²⁻ and AsO₄³⁻, and the dominant As(V) species at pH = 10 is anionic HAsO₄²⁻ and AsO₄³⁻. Likewise, the dominant As(V) species at pH = 8 is also anionic HAsO₄²⁻ and H₂AsO₄. Higher mass transfer of As(V) was therefore obtained at high pH range, because the oxy anion having larger negative charge was increased, leading higher driving force for the mass transfer.

Nevertheless, in the case of As(III), the As(III) species

Contact: Kazuharu YOSHIZUKA, Professor, The University of Kitakyushu Hibikino 1-1, Kitakyushu 808-0135 Japan Email: yoshizuka@kitakyu-u.ac.jp The effect of pH on the rejection of As on *k*exist as neutral H_3AsO_3 at pH < 9 and small amount of anionic $H_2AsO_3^-$ is appeared at pH > 9. The mass transfer coefficient (*k*) of As(III) was therefore found to be lower than the *k* of As(V).

Figure 2 shows the effect of discharged voltage on the removal of As. It can be seen that the removal of As (V) was faster and higher than that of As (III). In the case of As(V), the mass transfer coefficient (k) was increased with voltage applied, because the dominant species of As(V) is anion. On the other hand, the k of As(III) was stable against the voltage, because the dominant species of As(III) is neutral.

4. Conclusion

The removal of As(V) and As(III) from aqueous solution by using membrane-based technologies of ED was investigated. The results show that the pH of the aqueous phase is not affected on the mass transfer coefficient (*k*) within the voltage range of this study. The mass transfer coefficient (*k*) increased with increasing the discharged voltage of ED, and the mass transfer coefficient (*k*) in the case of As(V) is always higher than that of As(III).

5. **REFERENCES**

- P. Sedley, D. Kinniburgh (2002), A review of the source, behaviour and distribution of arsenic in natural waters. Applied geochemistry. 17(5): p. 517-568.
- [2] R. C. A. Moura, D. A. Bertuol, C. A. Ferreira, F. D. R. Amado. (2012), Study of chromium removal by the electrodialysis of tannery and metal-finishing effluents. International Journal of Chemical Engineering, 2012, Article ID 179312, 7 pages



Figure 1 Effect of discharged voltage on the mass transfer coefficient (k) of As



Figure 2 Effect of As concentration on the mass transfer coefficient (k) of As

^{*}Amu Wakamatsu¹, Kaoru Ohe¹, Tatsuya Oshima¹, Yoshinari Baba¹

1 Department of Applied Chemistry, University of Miyazaki, 1-1, Gakuen Kibanadai Nishi, Miyazaki 889-2192, Japan

Abstract

Removal of arsenic is the important issue to be resolved immediately because arsenic is harmful to the environment and the human body. Recently, the development of binary oxide containing iron oxide has gained considerable attention. In this study, novel magnetic binary oxides containing iron and yttrium (FeYx (x = molar ratio Y to Fe, 0.5, 1, 2)) were prepared and their adsorption behaviors of As(III) and As(V) were evaluated. The specific surface area and the point of zero charge (PZC) of FeYx increased with increasing x. FeY2 had larger specific surface area (183 m²g⁻¹) and higher PZC (10.3) than FeY0.5 and FeY1. All adsorption experiments were carried out by batch method and the effects of pH and arsenic concentration on arsenic removal were investigated. The adsorption percentage of As(III) and As(V) using FeY2 was higher than 90 % in the wide region of pH 6.0-10.5 and decreased slightly at higher pH than the PZC. The adsorption isotherms of As(III) and As(V) with FeY2 at 303 K fitted the Langmuir model well. The maximum adsorption capacities of As(III) and As(V) on FeY2 were 1.12 and 3.26 mmol g⁻¹, respectively. FeY2 is expected as a high performance adsorbent for As(III) and As(V).

Keywords: Adsorption, Arsenic, Yttrium, Iron, Binary Composites

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, arsenic contamination of rivers, seawater and groundwater is caused by dissolution of mineral and soil and human activities such as mining (Nriagu 2007). To minimize health risks as chronic arsenic poisoning, the environmental standard values of 0.01 mg/dm⁻³ is provided by WHO (Nriagu 2007). Arsenic in natural water exists as inorganic form of arsenite (As(III) and arsenate (As(V)). Therefore removal of inorganic arsenic is the issue to be resolved immediately. In the previous study, it was found that the magnetite and the ferrite synthesized with high point of zero charge and large specific surface area can effectively remove for arsenic (Ohe 2007, 2010a, 2010b). Recently, the development of binary oxide containing iron oxide has gained considerable attention (Gupta 2009, Zhang 2013) and As(III) was effectively removed by them. Yu *et al.* (2015, 2016) reported that yttrium-manganeese binary oxide and hydrated yttrium oxide had high capacity for As(V) in a wide range of pH. Wassay et al. (1996) found that a basic yttrium carbonate efficaciously removed As(V) at pH 7. However the adsorbents with yttrium were lower adsorption capacity for As(III) than As(V) at pH 7. In this study, a novel magnetic binary composite with iron and yttrium was prepared and its adsorption properties of As(III) and As(V) were evaluated.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

2.1 Synthesis and characterization of Fe-Y magnetic binary oxide (FeYx)

FeYx was prepared by a coprecipitation method. A

Contact: Kaoru Ohe, Assistant Professor, Department of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, University of Miyazaki 1-1, Gakuen Kibanadai Nishi, Miyazaki 889-2192, Japan okaoru@cc.miyazaki-u.ac.jp, +81-985 - 58 - 7585 composition ratio of Y / Fe = 0.5, 1, 2 (= x) using an iron(II) chloride and an yttrium(III) chloride was dissolved in 1 mol' dm⁻³ hydrochloric acid. The mixed solution was added to 1 mol'dm⁻³ aqueous sodium hydroxide solution under nitrogen gas at 363 K. The solid separated from suspension using centrifuge was dried under vacuum at room temperature. The products were evaluated by an X-ray diffraction analysis, measurement of point of zero charge, element analysis, and measurement of specific surface area by a nitrogen gas adsorption method at 77K.

2.2 Adsorption experiment

The adsorption test was carried out by a batch method. In order to investigate the effect of pH on the adsorption of arsenic, the aqueous As(III) and As(V) solutions were prepared at concentrations of 0.10 mmol^{-dm⁻³}. The solution was adjusted to pH 3.5 - 12.0 by 10 mmoldm⁻³ HCl and NaOH. In the adsorption isotherm for arsenic, aqueous As(III) and As(V) solutions were prepared at concentrations of 0.10 - 2.0 mmol⁻dm⁻³ and 0.10 - 4.0 mmol⁻³, respectively. The pH of the solution was adjusted to 7.0 by 10 mmol^{-dm⁻³} BES buffer solution. All batch tests were carried out as follows. 15 cm³ of the solution was added to the sample tube with 10 mg of FeYx. The suspension was shaken in a thermostat at 303 K, 120 rpm for 24 h. After shaking, the sample was filtered through a hydrophilic PTFE 0.45 µm membrane filter, and the As concentration in filtrate was analyzed by ICP atomic emission spectrometer. Adsorption percentage and amount of adsorption were calculated by Eqs. (1) and (2).

$$A = (C_i - C_e) / C_i \times 100$$
(1)
$$a = (C_i - C_e) \times 15/10$$
(2)

Where A and q are adsorption percentage (%) and amount of adsorption (mmol'g⁻¹), respectively. C_i and C_e represent the initial and the equilibrium concentration (mmol'dm⁻³) of arsenic, respectively.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Characterization of FeYx (x=0.5, 1, 2)

XRD patterns of prepared FeYx were shown in Fig.1. The diffraction peaks of the FeYx were attributed to characteristic peaks of Fe₃O₄. The increase of x was gradually widening the half value width of the diffraction peak and decreased the intensity of the peak. The broad peak of YOOH appeared with increasing a molar ratio of Y/Fe. The points of zero charge (PZC) of FeY2, FeY1 and Fe-Y0.5 were determined by zeta potential measurement, and they are 10.3, 9.8 and 8.8, respectively. The surface of the FeYx is positively charged at pH < PZC and becomes negatively charged at pH > PZC. The specific surface areas were 183, 166 and 140 m²g⁻¹, respectively. The relation of

the specific surface area and the PZC versus x was shown in Fig. 2. From this result, it was found that the PZC and the specific surface area increased with increasing x. Because the FeYx has magnetic property, the arsenic-loading FeYx is easily separated from suspension by magnet.





Fig. 2 Plots of the specific surface area and the point of zero charge versus molar ratio of Y to Fe.

Molar ratio of Y to Fe(x)

1.5

2

G

2.5

0

0

0.5

Fig. 3 shows the effect of pH on As (III) and As (V) adsorption by FeY2. FeY2 had the adsorption percentage higher than 90% of As(III) and As(V) in a wide pH range (6.3 - 10.5). These results were suggested that As(III) and



Fig. 3 Effect of pH on adsorption percentage of arsenic with FeY2.

As(V) were adsorbed on the adsorbent surface positively charged at pH< PZC. In the region of pH> PZC, the surface of FeY2 is negatively charged, which was caused electrostatic repulsion with the anion species of As(III) and As(V). Increase of PZC enhanced the arsenic adsorption performance of FeYx at high pH.

3.3 Adsorption isotherms of As(III) and As(V)

The maximum adsorption capacity and the adsorption equilibrium constant of As(III) and As(V) on FeYx were investigated by adsorption isotherms. The adsorption isotherms of As (III) and As (V) at 303 K are shown in Fig. 4. The data was correlated with Langmuir adsorption model (Eq.(3)).



$$q = K_{\rm L} q_{\rm max} C_{\rm e} / (1 + K_{\rm L} C_{\rm e})$$
(3)
$$q / C_{\rm e} = 1 / K_{\rm L} q_{\rm max} + C_{\rm e} / q_{\rm max}$$
(4)

The maximum adsorption capacity $(q_{\text{max},} \text{ mmol} \text{g}^{-1})$ and the adsorption equilibrium constant $(K_{\text{L}}, \text{dm}^{3.} \text{mmol}^{-1})$, respectively were determined from the intercept and the slope obtained by Eq.(4) which derived from rearranging Eq.(3).

The q_{max} values of As (III) on FeY2, FeY1 and FeY0.5 were 1.21, 0.893 and 0.693 mmol g⁻¹, respectively. K_{L} values were 6.15, 8.83 and 12.7 dm³ mmol⁻¹, respectively. In the adsorption of As (III), q_{max} and K_{L} increased with increasing the molar ratio of Fe to Y and increasing the amount of Fe in the FeYx composition, respectively. The q_{max} of As(III) on three FeYx adsorbents were higher than that of yttrium-manganese binary composite (0.373mmol g⁻¹)(Yu 2015).

The q_{max} values of As (V) on FeY2, FeY and Fe-Y0.5 were 3.26, 2.09 and 2.03 mmol g⁻¹, respectively, and K_{L}

values were 22.4, 18.0 and 18.2 dm³ mmol⁻¹, respectively. The adsorption performance for As(V) was enhanced with increasing the amount of yttrium in the FeYx composition. The q_{max} and the K_{L} of As(V) on FeY2 were 2.5 times and 3.6 times for those of As(III), respectively. At pH 7.0 most of the surface on FeY2 has the adsorption site charged positively because of high PZC value. This result suggests that As(V) is adsorbed by electrostatic attractive force between FeY2 surface charged positively and anion species of As (V). The q_{max} of As(V) on FeY2 are much higher than those on Cu-Fe, 1.10 mmol g⁻¹ (Zhang 2013) and Fe-Ti 0.19 mmol g⁻¹ (Gupta 2009) binary oxides. Therefore FeY2 is expected for adsorbent of As(III) and As(V).

4. **REFERENCES**

- Gupta, K., Ghosh, U.C. (2009). Arsenic removal using hydrous nanostructure iron(III)-titanium(IV) binary mixed oxide from aqueous solution. J. Hazard. Mater., 161, 884-892pp.
- Nriagu, J. O., Bhattacharya, P. B., Mukherjee, A. B., Bundschuh, J., Zevenhoven, B. (2007) Arsenic in soil and groundwater: an overview. In: Bhattacharya, P., Mukherjee, A. B. Bundschuh, J., Zevenhoven, R., Loeppert, R. H. (eds.) Arsenic in Soil and Groundwater Environment. Trace Metals and other Contaminants in the Environment, Elsevier, B. V. Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 3-60pp.
- Ohe, K., Tomimatsu, R., Oshima, T., Baba Y. (2007). Removal of As(III) and As(V) in groundwater using ferrite adsorbents. Ion Exchange, 18(4), 550-553 pp.
- Ohe, K., Oshima, T., Baba, Y. (2010a). Adsorption of arsenic using high surface area magnetites. Environ. Geochem. Health, 32(2), 283-286pp.
- Ohe, K., Tomimatsu, R., Oshima, T., Baba Y. (2010b). Adsorption of Arsenic(III) and Arsenic(V) on magnetite containing Zirconium and Cerium. Ion Exchange, 22(3), 205-210 pp.
- Yu, Y., Yu, L., Chen, J. P. (2015). Introduction of an yttrium-manganese binary composite that has extremely high adsorption capacity for arsenate uptake in different water conditions. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 54, 2000-2008pp.
- Yu, Y. Yu, L., Sun, M., Chen, J. P. (2016). Facile synthesis of highly active hydrated yttrium oxide towards arsenate adsorption. J. Colloid Interface Sci., 474, 216-222pp.
- Zhang, G., Ren, Z., Zhang, X., Chen, J. (2013). Nanostructured iron(III)-copper(II) binary oxide: A novel adsorbent for enhanced arsenic removal from aqueous solutions. Wat. Res., 47(12), 4022-4031pp.